

Joanne Hadfield

From: Kelly Dewar
Sent: Monday, 8 April 2019 12:24 PM
To: Joanne Hadfield; pa_duggan@yahoo.com
Subject: FW: Heritage study

Kelly Dewar | Acting Manager Property and Risk

Warrumbungle Shire Council
PO Box 191, Coonabarabran NSW 2357
P 02 6849 2000 | F 02 6842 1337
E Kelly.Dewar@warrumbungle.nsw.gov.au

Excellence in Local Government



This email and its attachments may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Warrumbungle Shire Council. This email does not commit Warrumbungle Shire Council unless confirmed in writing on Council letterhead. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error.

From: .
Sent: Wednesday, 3 April 2019 10:27 PM
To: Records Officer
Subject: Heritage study

Having read with great interest the Shire Community Based Heritage Study draft in the Coolah Library I noted the following error.
Under the entry for Binnia Downs Homestead the purchaser of the property from Mr. McMaster was Geoffrey Powell not Geoffrey Power as stated.

Joanne Hadfield

From: Kelly Dewar
Sent: Tuesday, 2 April 2019 10:30 AM
To: pa_duggan@yahoo.com; Joanne Hadfield
Subject: Doc 99369 FW: Attention Peter Duggan - Proposed Heritage Listing
Attachments: Heritage Letter 29.03.19.pdf

Kelly Dewar | Acting Manager Property and Risk

Warrumbungle Shire Council
PO Box 191, Coonabarabran NSW 2357
P 02 6849 2000 | F 02 6842 1337
E Kelly.Dewar@warrumbungle.nsw.gov.au

Excellence in Local Government



This email and its attachments may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Warrumbungle Shire Council. This email does not commit Warrumbungle Shire Council unless confirmed in writing on Council letterhead. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error.

From:
Sent: Friday, 29 March 2019 4:57 PM
To: Records Officer
Subject: Attention Peter Duggan - Proposed Heritage Listing

Attention: Peter Duggan,

RE: Proposed Heritage Listing: Coonabarabran Masonic Lodge (Former) 48-50 Cassilis Street, Coonabarabran, Lot 8/Section 38/DP 758281

Please find attached a letter in regards to the above proposed heritage listing.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact me via email or on mobile

Yours sincerely

29th March 2019

Mr Peter Duggan
Heritage Advisor
Warrumbungle Shire Council
Email: info@warrumbungle.nsw.gov.au

**RE: PROPOSED HERITAGE LISTING: COONABARABRAN MASONIC LODGE (FORMER)
48-50 CASSILIS STREET, COONABARABRAN, LOT8/SECTION 38/DP 758281**

Dear Peter,

I'm writing in response to the letter dated 3rd December 2018 in regards to the Proposed Heritage Listing of the Former Coonabarabran Masonic Lodge. I've had a read through the Draft Warrumbungle Shire Community Based Heritage Study and am hesitant to have the Former Masonic Lodge of Coonabarabran listed on the Heritage Register.

The reasons for which I'm not in favour of this move are that I believe that the Heritage status of this building has changed significantly as it has had an approved change of use approved by the Warrumbungle Shire Council and is no longer a Masonic Lodge (public building) but is now a residence (private). This change alone I thought would have started the heritage significance calendar from the date of the new Development Application (2015).

Upon reading through the recommendation for the Former Masonic Lodge – I have noted some items from the report (listed below) that have significantly changed. I am concerned if the listing was to take place I would have further limitations put on my building as they would not be classified as in keeping with the original building – which is confusing in itself as it has been modified twice (two wings added to the front façade of the building circa 1960's and a storage room [since removed as part of current renovation] circa 1980's) prior to my modifications.

Some of the points of concern in the report are:

“Despite additions the building has a high degree of integrity”

I have added a verandah (Australian Vernacular Architecture) to the eastern side of the property and a carport/garage (similar style to Verandah) is to be added shortly to the southern side of the property (all approved via my Development Application in 2015). The additions of these has changed the building significantly as it now represents a residence rather than the original Hall (Inter-War Free Classical Style). The internal structure has been completely modified from two halls, a kitchenette & male toilet facilities – to 4 bedrooms, 3 bathrooms, kitchen, two living areas and a laundry by the additions of several walls (all approved under my development application).

“The lodge building has a moderate level of local social significance”

This local social significance was altered significantly when the Masonic Lodge was sold and their last meeting was held during the sale settlement period of the building. Once this occurred and the change of use took place – the building is no longer a place of social significance, but a private

residence. This building was included in the report completed by Ray Christison circa 2005 – why wasn't it listed back then when it was untouched and remained as a Masonic Lodge (its original purpose) which would have had a social significance.

I plan to eventually sell the residence and I would rather have no restrictions on the building (Heritage Listing) when I plan to do this. A Valuer has advised me that in the Coonabarabran area – it would add no value to the property but instead potentially deter any potential buyers due to future restrictions.

Whilst in the process of renovating the property – I was once notified by the Warrumbungle Shire Council to apply for a grant as the property was of Heritage Significance (based on Ray Christison's report). Upon speaking with a representative from Council about my concerns that this would potentially lock me into being heritage listed – I was informed this would not happen. After three years of contemplating applying for a Heritage Grant – I did so last year (2018) and was granted \$3,000 to paint the front façade of the building. When you (Peter Duggan) came to inspect the property and discuss the works – you were not convinced of the colour scheme that I had picked for the building and had suggested I use Heritage Green. I have no intentions of using Heritage Green on my building. Just because it has the word Heritage in the title – I believe it has no heritage significance on the use of my building. I have looked into colour schemes for quite some time in regards to this building (and to be in keeping with its style) and no where have I seen heritage green used on this style of building. I'm concerned that if I don't use heritage green on the building that the grant will not be processed as all the work has to be completed first prior to the money being granted. I'm happy to forgo the grant, as I'm not changing my mind on this matter. This also in turn concerns me that as part of a grant that I was informed of that would not lock me into any heritage type commitments – I'm already being advised what colour to paint my building. Once again, another reason why I do not want my building on the heritage register.

The more I've looked into this the more adamant I am that I do not want this to occur. If you wish to discuss any of these items with me – I'm happy to speak with you in regards to this matter and can be contacted o.

Yours sincerely

97829

Joanne Hadfield

From: Kelly Dewar
Sent: Wednesday, 27 February 2019 12:18 PM
To: pa_duggan@yahoo.com; Joanne Hadfield
Subject: FW: Proposed Heritage Listing of Willow Vale Lot 92/DP 628499

Kelly Dewar | Acting Manager Property and Risk

Warrumbungle Shire Council
PO Box 191, Coonabarabran NSW 2357
P 02 6849 2000 | F 02 6842 1337
E Kelly.Dewar@warrumbungle.nsw.gov.au

Excellence in Local Government

This email and its attachments may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Warrumbungle Shire Council. This email does not commit Warrumbungle Shire Council unless confirmed in writing on Council letterhead. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error.

-----Original Message-----

From: ☺
Sent: Tuesday, 19 February 2019 9:06 AM
To: Records Officer
Subject: Proposed Heritage Listing of Willow Vale Lot 92/DP 628499

Good Morning Mr DUGGAN.

I write to you regarding the letter I received in relation to the proposed Heritage Listing of Willow Vale off Dawson Street Coonabarabran, your reference 92/DP 628499 dated 11 December 2018.

Firstly I must apologies for the late reply, I have had my own busy times of late.

I have reviewed the images shown in the letter of the Water Hole listed as Willow Vale and they do not seem to me on my property. Willow Vale was originally a larger property that got divided into two. The original homestead you refer to in this letter is now called Kontiki Park. This is Lot 92 Drummond Street Coonabarabran and my home.

The now Willow Vale property wraps around my property and it is my opinion that the water hole you refer to is my neighbours property that is rented out. The owner Robert Jackson has passed, away so I am unaware who now looks after Willow Vale.

In relation to my home the original homestead of Willow Vale, now Kontiki Park. I would like to respectfully decline the proposed Heritage Listing on my home. I have sought advice and this is my stand on this matter.

Please reply Email to confirm receipt of this and your thoughts.

If you would like clarification or to discuss this matter further please do not hesitate to contact me

Yours Sincerely,

Sent from my iPad

Joanne Hadfield

97828

From: Kelly Dewar
Sent: Wednesday, 27 February 2019 12:19 PM
To: pa_duggan@yahoo.com; Joanne Hadfield
Subject: FW: Peter Duggan

Kelly Dewar | Acting Manager Property and Risk

Warrumbungle Shire Council
PO Box 191, Coonabarabran NSW 2357
P 02 6849 2000 | F 02 6842 1337
E Kelly.Dewar@warrumbungle.nsw.gov.au

Excellence in Local Government



This email and its attachments may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Warrumbungle Shire Council. This email does not commit Warrumbungle Shire Council unless confirmed in writing on Council letterhead. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error.

From: f
Sent: Monday, 18 February 2019 1:58 PM
To: Records Officer
Subject: Att: Peter Duggan

I am writing on behalf of my family, in response to a letter I received regarding the possible Heritage Listing of 55-59 Castlereagh St Baradine.

We are in the process of winding up our mother's estate and the house is currently on the market. As a result we would like to not pursue this idea at the moment, and maybe take it up with the new owner once the property is sold.

Regards

Joanne Hadfield

From:
Sent: Monday, 29 April 2019 10:08 PM
To: Records Officer
Cc:
Subject: Heritage study submission

I found this to be a very disappointing study by PA Duggan.

Introduction

1.4 Acknowledgments – Mr Cameron was not consulted on this study. His former work was liberally used.

1.6 Methodology Page 3 Community ownership – certainly not.

2.3 Study process – notification letters – this was not reliably done.

I read the hard copies in Coolah Library but was incredulous that the listing was alphabetical rather than locality listed. Therefore, the Coolah localities were in every alphabetical volume.

This was a very disconnected, disjointed manner of listing and is not conducive to research, or to any enjoyment of investigating our locale.

The first entry that I found for a local area was Bracken's Hut at Coolah Tops.

SHI Number 1390160 Study number Heritage Day 7 Typo bought instead of brought 3rd para P2 Physical description Bracken's Hut is located in open grassland - woody weeds are fast taking over the grassland and need to be controlled before the grassland is lost altogether

Coolah School of Arts SHI 1390096

Criteria Social Cultural significance P4 of 8 Site It is important that this site is recorded as the site for ANZAC Day commemoration services for community – dawn and main commemoration services.

The historic Black Stump Inn site was just north of Coolah near the junction of the road to Coonabarabran, an area the aborigines referred to as Weetaliba-Wallangan Place – where the fire went out and left a burnt stump. The New South Wales Government Gazette No. 24, 5th March 1971, records that the Geographical Names Board of NSW had assigned the geographic name of the Black Stump Resting Place to a roadside reserve on the Coolah-Gunnedah Road about 9 kilometres north of Coolah, latitude 31 degrees 46 minutes, longitude 149 degrees 39 minutes in the Parish of Queensborough, County of Napier. This declaration was made due to efforts of Coolah citizens to reclaim the Black Stump moniker to which other towns had made claim.

Of all items that should be on the Heritage List, this should be paramount.

I cannot see why the Fire Station was listed, Shire Chambers but not significant other buildings. I found the churches, hotels listed by some other index, category but cannot reconcile how the two lists are connected. I would appreciate the explanation for this. Pages and pages about the railway turntable but no pages on Coolah Shire Hall with its checkered history and now repurposed and showcasing the heritage photos of one of Australia's most lauded photographers, Max Dupain, photos taken of Coolah and surrounds in 1948 of high social significance. Somewhere in some notes about electricity, there is a notation about it being the first building electrified in Coolah by Tucker Bros.

It seems to me that this Heritage Study is very disjointed, not directed at all to people wanting to take pride in their local heritage.

If I am missing the point, if it is just an add on to the listings State, Local significance, something to do with LEP, I apologise for my misreading of the study.

I would be pleased to have the purpose of this study clarified for me.

Sincerely

(

Joanne Hadfield

From:
Sent: Monday, 29 April 2019 10:15 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: Re: Heritage study submission

Many thanks for this.

On Mon, Apr 29, 2019 at 10:07 PM < > wrote:

I found this to be a very disappointing study by PA Duggan.

Introduction

1.4 Acknowledgments – Mr Cameron was not consulted on this study. His former work was liberally used.

1.6 Methodology Page 3 Community ownership – certainly not.

2.3 Study process – notification letters – this was not reliably done.

I read the hard copies in Coolah Library but was incredulous that the listing was alphabetical rather than locality listed. Therefore, the Coolah localities were in every alphabetical volume.

This was a very disconnected, disjointed manner of listing and is not conducive to research, or to any enjoyment of investigating our locale.

The first entry that I found for a local area was Bracken's Hut at Coolah Tops.

SHI Number 1390160 Study number Heritage Day 7 Typo bought instead of brought 3rd para P2 Physical description Bracken's Hut is located in open grassland - woody weeds are fast taking over the grassland and need to be controlled before

the grassland is lost altogether

Coolah School of Arts SHI 1390096

Criteria Social Cultural significance P4 of 8 Site It is important that this site is recorded as the site for ANZAC Day commemoration services for community – dawn and main commemoration services.

The historic Black Stump Inn site was just north of Coolah near the junction of the road to Coonabarabran, an area the aborigines referred to as Weetaliba-Wallangan Place – where the fire went out and left a burnt stump. The New South Wales Government Gazette No. 24, 5th March 1971, records that the Geographical Names Board of NSW had assigned the geographic name of the Black Stump Resting Place to a roadside reserve on the Coolah-Gunnedah Road about 9 kilometres north of Coolah, latitude 31 degrees 46 minutes, longitude 149 degrees 39 minutes in the Parish of Queensborough, County of Napier. This declaration was made due to efforts of Coolah citizens to reclaim the Black Stump moniker to which other towns had made claim.

Of all items that should be on the Heritage List, this should be paramount.

I cannot see why the Fire Station was listed, Shire Chambers but not significant other buildings. I found the churches, hotels listed by some other index, category but cannot reconcile how the two lists are connected.

I would appreciate the explanation for this. Pages and pages about the railway turntable but no pages on Coolah Shire Hall with its checkered history and now repurposed and showcasing the heritage photos of one of Australia's most lauded photographers, Max Dupain, photos taken of Coolah and surrounds in 1948 of high social significance. Somewhere in some notes about electricity, there is a notation about it being the first building electrified in Coolah by Tucker Bros.

It seems to me that this Heritage Study is very disjointed, not directed at all to people wanting to take pride in their local heritage.

If I am missing the point, if it is just an add on to the listings State, Local significance, something to do with LEP, I apologise for my misreading of the study.

I would be pleased to have the purpose of this study clarified for me.

Sincerely

(

(

Joanne Hadfield

From:
Sent: Thursday, 2 May 2019 8:01 PM
To:
Cc:
Subject: Re: Heritage Study

Very interesting!

On Thu, 2 May 2019 at 5:36 pm < > wrote:

I had a few minutes in town today to look further into Heritage Study – Thematic volume

P45 second para – principal – principle

P85 private hospitals 3.8.5 we don't know they *typo* *One hospital in Goddard St and this building has recently been beautifully restored*

P176 Coolah Public School last sentence classroom bock

P204 Presbyterian Church 8.4.5.3 Was built by the founder of Coolah, James McCubbin - who doesn't seem to have much of a mention anywhere despite an illustrious life until his downfall